

Planning (FINAL)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700432

A. Statement of Planning Objectives

The project consists of the field work in creating a survey line(Flag line) for a proposed ATV/ Motorcycle trail approximately 5.5 miles in length.

This ATV /Motorcycle trail when completed will provide a link of two sections of the Back Country Discovery Trail (BCDT) as part of the State Motorized trail system on the west side of the ANF. This trail will provide access to Lake Hughes for fishing and camping. In addition the trail will create access to the Sawmill/Liebre area where there are campgrounds, site seeing, hiking and equestrian trails. The new section of OHV trail would also reduce OHV use on the Pacific Crest Trail (PCT) thereby allowing hikers, equestrian and bird watchers to enjoy the forest without conflicts from OHV users. This project will prepare the environment documentation under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). NEPA Planning will include wildlife, botanical and archaeological surveys along with the required documents prior to implementation of the proposed ground distributing activities for the construction of the OHV trail .

B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

This planning project will complete the preparation of the environment documentation under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and provide a cost to implement the construction of ATV / Motorcycle trail approximately 5.5 miles in length to complete a link of the Back Country State Motorized trail system on the west side of the Angeles NF. In addition the trail will allow OHV access to the community of Lake Hughes which provides food, fuel and lodging with recreation activities such as fishing, camping and water play. This project would allow access to 35 miles of OHV routes within the forest.

C. Statement of Activities

The project consists of the field work in creating a survey line(Flag line) for a proposed ATV/ Motorcycle trail approximately 5.5 miles in length. This ATV /Mototrcyle trail when completed will provide a link for two sececions of the Back Country State Motorized trail system on the west side of the ANF. This project will also assist in the preparation of the environment documentation under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) NEPA planning will include wildlife, botanical and archaeological surveys along with the required documents prior to implantation of the proposed ground distributing activities for the constructionof the OHV trail.

D. List of Reports

This project will complete the preparation of the environment documentation under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The NEPA planning will include wildlife, botanical and archaeological surveys along with the required documents prior to implantation of the proposed ground distributing activities for the constructionof the OHV trail.

Additional Documentation

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700432

1. Timeline for Completion

Attachments:

[Timeline](#)

2. Optional Project-Specific Application Documents

3. Optional Project-specific Maps

Attachments:

[Site map for trail](#)

[Maxwell map](#)

[Hiat Map](#)

Project Cost Estimate

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:		Version # _____		APP # _____	
APPLICANT NAME :	USFS - Angeles National Forest				
PROJECT TITLE :	Planning (FINAL)			PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only) :	G09-02-01-P01
PROJECT TYPE :	<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition <input type="checkbox"/> Development <input type="checkbox"/> Education & Safety <input type="checkbox"/> Ground Operations <input type="checkbox"/> Law Enforcement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION :	<p>The project consists of the field work in creating a survey line(Flag line) for a proposed ATV/ Motorcycle trail approximately 5.5 miles in length. This ATV /Motorcycle trail when completed will provide a link of two sections of the Back Country Discovery Trail (BCDT) as part of the State Motorized trail system on the west side of the ANF. This trail will provide access to Lake Hughes for fishing and camping. In addition the trail will create access to the Sawmill/Liebre area where there are campgrounds, site seeing, hiking and equestrian trails. The new section of OHV trail would also reduce OHV use on the Pacific Crest Trail (PCT) thereby allowing hikers, equestrian and bird watchers to enjoy the forest without conflicts from OHV users. This project will prepare the environment documentation under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). NEPA Planning will include wildlife, botanical and archaeological surveys along with the required documents prior to implementation of the proposed ground distributing activities for the construction of the OHV trail .</p>				

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIRECT EXPENSES							
Program Expenses							
1	Staff						
	Other-OHV specialist GS-9	10.000	330.000	DAY	0.00	3,300.00	3,300.00
	Other-OHV Specialist GS-7	10.000	270.000	DAY	2,700.00	0.00	2,700.00
	Other-Wildlife Biologist	5.000	370.000	DAY	1,850.00	0.00	1,850.00
	Other-Botonist	5.000	370.000	DAY	1,850.00	0.00	1,850.00
	Other-Soil Scientist	5.000	370.000	DAY	1,850.00	0.00	1,850.00
	Other-Arch	5.000	370.000	DAY	1,850.00	0.00	1,850.00
	Total for Staff				10,100.00	3,300.00	13,400.00
2	Contracts						

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010
Agency: USFS - Angeles National Forest
Application: Planning (FINAL)

2/19/2010

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
3	Materials / Supplies						
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
	Other-4x4 PU/ Class 265 use Notes : 2 Vehicles	1000.000	0.420	MI	420.00	0.00	420.00
5	Equipment Purchases						
6	Others						
7	Indirect Costs						
	Indirect Costs-Indirect Cost	1.000	1000.000	YR	0.00	1,000.00	1,000.00
Total Program Expenses					10,520.00	4,300.00	14,820.00
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES					10,520.00	4,300.00	14,820.00
TOTAL EXPENDITURES					10,520.00	4,300.00	14,820.00

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010
Agency: USFS - Angeles National Forest
Application: Planning (FINAL)

2/19/2010

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative
DIRECT EXPENSES					
Program Expenses					
1	Staff	10,100.00	3,300.00	13,400.00	
2	Contracts	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Materials / Supplies	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Equipment Use Expenses	420.00	0.00	420.00	
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7	Indirect Costs	0.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	
Total Program Expenses		10,520.00	4,300.00	14,820.00	
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES		10,520.00	4,300.00	14,820.00	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		10,520.00	4,300.00	14,820.00	

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700432

ITEM 1 and ITEM 2

ITEM 1

- a. ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) been filed for the Project? ☐ Yes ☒ No
(Please select Yes or No)

ITEM 2

- b. Does the proposed Project include a request for funding for CEQA and/or NEPA document preparation prior to implementing the remaining Project Deliverables (i.e., is it a two-phased Project pursuant to Section 4970.06.1(b)) (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

ITEM 3 - Project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378

- c. ITEM 3 - Are the proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378? ☐ Yes ☒ No
(Please select Yes or No)
- d. The Application is requesting funds solely for personnel and support to enforce OHV laws and ensure public safety. These activities would not cause any physical impacts on the environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No
- e. Other. Explain why proposed activities would not cause any physical impacts on the environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete ITEMS 4 – 10

ITEM 4 - Impact of this Project on Wetlands

ITEM 5 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project

ITEM 6 - Soil Impacts

ITEM 7 - Damage to Scenic Resources

ITEM 8 - Hazardous Materials

Is the proposed Project Area located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code (hazardous materials)? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If YES, describe the location of the hazard relative to the Project site, the level of hazard and the measures to be taken to minimize or avoid the hazards.

ITEM 9 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Historical or Cultural Resources

Would the proposed Project have potential for any substantial adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

Discuss the potential for the proposed Project to have any substantial adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources.

ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts

CEQA/NEPA Attachment

Planning Project Criteria

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700432

1. Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto populates from Cost Estimate)

1. As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is 3

(Note: This field will auto-populate once the Cost Estimate and Evaluation Criteria are Validated.) (Please select one from list)

☐ 76% or more (10 points)

☐ 51% - 75% (5 points)

☒ 26% - 50% (3 points)

☐ 25% (Match minimum) (No points)

2. Planning Project - Q 2.

A Planning Project - Page 1

2. The Planning Project would address the following 4

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

☒ Potential effects of OHV Recreation on special-status species habitats

☒ Potential effects of OHV Recreation on cultural resources

☒ Potential effects of OHV Recreation on soil conditions

☒ Potential effects of OHV Recreation on water quality

☒ Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses

☒ Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.

☒ Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents

☐ Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project Area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation

☐ Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.

B. Planning Project - Page 2

Explain each statement that was checked

The NEPA planning will include wildlife, botanical and archaeological surveys along with the required documents prior to implantation of the the project. The NEPA proces will include internal and external scoping which will address any potencial effects to adjacent lands, local residents, land owers and other recreation uses. In addition, effects of OHV recreation on water quality and soils condition will be evaluated.

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ 6 or more items checked (4 points)

☐ 4 to 5 items checked (3 points)

☐ 2 to 3 items checked (2 points)

☐ 1 or no items checked (No points)

3. Motorized Access - Q 3.

3. The Project would lead to improved facilities that provide motorized access to the following nonmotorized recreation opportunities 6

(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points each, up to a maximum of 6 points (Please select applicable values)

☒ Camping

☒ Birding

☒ Hiking

☒ Equestrian trails

☒ Fishing

☐ Rock Climbing

☒ Other (Specify) [Complete connection of the Back Country Discovery Trail]

4. Public Input - Q 4.

4. The Project proposal was developed with public input employing the following 2

(Check all that apply) Scoring: Maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point)
☐ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)
☒ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)

Explain each statement that was checked

Meetings were held with the California Trail Users Coalition (CTUC) to discuss several projects that were being submitted by the forest including this planning project. In addition two public noticed meetings were held in February and March in conjunction with CTUC's regular scheduled meeting.

5. Stakeholder Input - Q 5.

5. If the Project were approved, the planning process would incorporate substantial stakeholder input: 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (No points) ☒ Yes (5 points)

If 'Yes', explain, specifically, how it would be 'substantial'. Identify stakeholders

The public scoping would request OHV users, adjacent land owners, stakeholders of non-motorized use and commercial merchants to provide comments to project.

6. Utilization of Partnerships - Q 6.

6. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 4 or more (4 points) ☐ 2 to 3 (2 points)
☒ 1 (1 point) ☐ None (No points)

List partner organization(s)

California Inmate Fire Camps

7. Sustain OHV Opportunity - Q 7.

7. The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☐ Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity (4 points)
☒ Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project (3 points)
☒ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent to population centers (3 points)
☒ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (2 points)
☒ Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (2 points)

Explain each statement that was checked

This planning project will complete the preparation of the environment documentation under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and provide a cost to implement the construction of ATV / Motorcycle trail approximately 5.5 miles in length to complete a link of the Back Country State Motorized trail system on the west side of the Angeles NF. In addition the trail will allow OHV access to the community of Lake Hughes which provides food, fuel and lodging with recreation activities such as fishing, camping and water play. This project would allow access to 35 miles of OHV routes within the forest.

8. Identification of Funding Sources - Q 8.

8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (No points)

☐ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response

Reference Document

9. Offsite Impacts - Q 9.

9. The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff): 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response

The project will identify a proposed route that would minimize potential impacts from trespass and sound disturbance. Trail construction and maintenance requirements (Best Management Practices) will be supported along with soils loss requirements.